

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,799	11/12/2003	Joel S. Karp	UPN-4296	7178
23377	7590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			SUNG, CHRISTINE	
1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			2884	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s) KARP ET AL.		
		10/706,799			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Christine Sung	2884		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
WHIII - Extending after	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significantly received by the Office later than three months after the notice patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 November 2003.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)		owance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is		
-	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application	on.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.				
• —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
, —	The specification is objected to by the Exar				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bu				
		a list of the certified copies no			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0504,0704.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/706,799

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moses (US Patent 5,015,860 A) in view of Andreaco et al. (US Patent 6,362,479 B1).

Regarding claim 1, Moses discloses a PET detector (figure 2) comprising:

A Lanthanum Halide scintillator (column 8, lines 24-27) comprising a plurality of Lanthanum Halide Crystals (Figure 1, element 11, and Figure 2, elements 22a, 22b and 22c);

A plurality of PMTs (figure 1, element 12),

Wherein the Lanthanum Halide Scintillator (elements 22 a, 22b, 22c) and the PMTs (elements 22a, 22b, 22c) are arranged respectively around a cavity for accepting a patient (figure 2, element 20).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,799

Art Unit: 2884

Moses does not specify a light guide and further does not specify that it is arranged around the cavity for accepting a patient. However, Andreaco et al. discloses a PET detection apparatus (figure 3a) with a scintillation element (element 40), a light guide (element 50), and PMT (element 25). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the light guide as disclosed by Andreaco et al. with the invention as disclosed by Moses in order to increase the accuracy of the detected radiation, as incident radiation detected by the scintillation elements are properly channeled through the light guide to the PMTs the detection elements. Without such light guide elements, stray radiation can be detected by adjacent PMTs, thus reducing the accuracy of the radiation detected.

Regarding claim 2, Moses discloses a PET scanner (figure 2) comprising:

A cavity for accepting a patient (figure 2, element 20): and

A plurality of PET detector modules (elements 22 a, 22b and 22c) arranged in a approximately cylindrical configuration about said cavity (see figure 2 arrangement of element 22 a, 22b and 22c), each PET detector including a Lanthanum Halide scintillator (Column 8, lines 24-27) comprising a plurality of Lanthanum Halide Crystals (Figure 1, element 11, and Figure 2, elements 22a, 22b and 22c), and a plurality of PMTs (figure 1, element 12), wherein said Lanthanum halide scintillator, said light guide and said PMTs are arranged respectively peripherally around said cavity (figure 2).

Moses does not specify a light guide and further does not specify that it is arranged around the cavity for accepting a patient. However, Andreaco et al. discloses a PET detection apparatus (figure 3a) with a scintillation element (element 40), a light guide (element 50), and PMT (element 25). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the light guide as

Art Unit: 2884

disclosed by Andreaco et al. with the invention as disclosed by Moses in order to increase the accuracy of the detected radiation, as incident radiation detected by the scintillation elements are properly channeled through the light guide to the PMTs the detection elements. Without such light guide elements, stray radiation can be detected by adjacent PMTs, thus reducing the accuracy of the radiation detected.

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casey (US Patent 4,743,764 A) in view of Moses (US Patent 5,015,860 A) further in view of Mullani (US Patent 4,559,597 A).

Regarding claim 3, Casey discloses a PET scanning system (Figure 2) comprising:

A PET scanner (Figure 2) comprising a cavity (element 40) for accepting a patient (element 16) and a plurality of PET detector modules (element 20) arranged in an approximately cylindrical configuration about said cavity (see figure 2), each PET detector including a scintillator (Figure 4B, element 64) comprising a plurality crystals (Figure 5b, elements 1', 2', 3', 4', 5', 6', 7', 8'), a light guide (figure 5B, element 68) and a plurality of PMTs (figure 5B, elements 70a and 70b), wherein said scintillator (Figure 4B, element 64), light guide (figure 5B, element 68) and said PMTs (elements 70a and 70b) are arranged respectively peripherally around said cavity (Figure 2). Casey does not specify the circuitry and processor and further does not specify the use of Lanthanum halide.

Moses discloses a PET scanning system that uses a Lanthanum Halide (see claim 1). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the crystal disclosed by Moses with the invention as disclosed by Casey as such crystal have increased light output, and faster decay time constants, which increase the accuracy and speed in PET detection.

Mullani discloses a PET imager with a time stamp circuit that records the time of receipt of gamma rays by respective PET detectors and provides timing data outputs (Figure 5, element 12); and

A processor (element 14) that receives said timing data outputs (see figure 5), calculates time-of-flight of gamma rays (column 13, lines 29-43) through a patient in the cavity and uses said TOF of gamma rays in the reconstruction of images of the patient (claims 1 and 3). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use the circuitry and processing as disclosed by Mullani with the scanning device as disclosed by Casey in view of Moses order to increase the accuracy of the detection of PET events for imaging.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. US Pre Grant Publication 2005/0104001 A1- this reference discloses a PET scintillation device that determines time of flight, and contains all of the limitations of claims but cannot be applied as prior art.
- 7. US Pre Grant Publication 2005/0082484 A1- this reference discloses a scintillation device using a lanthanum halide scintillator crystal for PET detection.
- 8. WO 2004/044613 A2- this reference has common applicants and contains the claimed elements.
- 9. US Patent 5,453,623 A- this reference discloses a PET detector.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,799 Page 6

Art Unit: 2884

10. US Patent 6,373,059 B1- this reference discloses a PET scanner that reconstructs images from coincidence events.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine Sung whose telephone number is 571-272-2448. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 7-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christine Sung Examiner

Art Unit 2884

CS